MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE, LLP 814 Seventh Street P.O. Drawer 1008 Eureka, CA 95502 Court's December 7, 2009 order regarding his intention to obtain new counsel or represent himself, the Court substituted plaintiff *pro se* and relieved his formal counsel. (Doc. #31.)

On January 20, 2010, a status conference was set for February 16, 2010. Plaintiff failed to appear at this conference, and another status conference was set for March 16, 2010, at which plaintiff appeared *pro se*. (Doc. #35.) At that status conference, the Court ordered that defendant's motion to dismiss be filed by April 15, 2010, and instructed plaintiff that his response was due on April 29, 2010. (Doc. #28.)

On April 15, 2010, defendant filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings, to which plaintiff did not file a timely response. (Doc. #35.) On May 17, 2010, the Court then ordered plaintiff to respond to defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings by May 24, 2010. (Doc. #32.) Plaintiff failed to respond to the motion as ordered; however, it was ultimately denied by the Court on June 10, 2010. (Doc. #33.) In its order denying defendant's motion, the Court set a Case Management Conference for August 24, 2010, and further ordered the parties to submit a Joint Case Management and Pre-Trial Order no later than July 2, 2010. (Doc. #33.) The Court cautioned plaintiff that "[s]hould Plaintiff continue to fail to follow this Court's orders and/or fail to respond to motions, defendant may move, or the Court may sua sponte move, to involuntarily dismiss this action for failure to prosecute," citing Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b)." (*Id.*)

On July 2, 2010, defendant submitted a Pre-Trial Conference Statement indicating that plaintiff failed to respond to multiple requests that he contact defense counsel in order to prepare the afore-mentioned Joint Case Management and Pre-Trial Order. (Doc. #34.)

Plaintiff subsequently requested an extension of time to respond to the Order to Show Cause, which was granted by the Court in an order filed August 13, 2010. (Doc. #37.) The Court ordered that plaintiff's response to the Court's Order to Show Cause

## Case 1:09-cv-00006-NJV Document 42 Filed 08/26/10 Page 3 of 3

1	shall be filed no later than the close of business on Monday, August 23, 2010.
2	Plaintiff subsequently served and filed a response to Order to Show Cause on or
3	about August 23, 2010.
4	Having considered all the above, and good cause appearing,
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-entitled action be dismissed pursuant
6	to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 41(b), without prejudice.
7	
8	
9	Dated:August 26, 2010
10	
11	
12	1 m
13	United States Magistrate Judge
14	NANDOR J. VADAS
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24   25	
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	
20	

MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE, LLP 814 Seventh Street P.O. Drawer 1008 Eureka, CA 95502